

Long-term renovation strategy

Session 8

Dr. Martin Pehnt

ifeu – Institute for Energy and Environmental Research Heidelberg

CTM of CT 4, EPBD



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 820497.

Welcome to Session 8 "Long-term renovation strategy" LTRS				
Organizing team				
Martin Pehnt (coord.)	EPBD			
ifeu - Institute for Energy and Environmental Research, Germany				
Sophie Shnapp	RED, EPBD			
Tadeusz Skoczkowski	EED			
Polish National Energy Conservation Agency, and Warsaw University of Technology				
Emilie Carmichael	RED			
Energy Savings Trust				

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



Aim of session

• Discuss the future of the LTRS from the perspective of the three directives to identify possible co-operation areas and get synergy

Organisational

Which ministries are responsible, how is stakeholder participation designed, etc.

Strategic aspects

Relationship between supply and demand, interaction of efficiency and renewables, future role of heat/gas infrastructures and savings, allocation of biomass and PtX to sectors, etc.

Using policy mechanisms of other directives for the LTRS

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



Interaction between EPBD, EED, RED (Examples)

LTRS

EPBD

Energy poverty

Renovation of public buildings (Art. 5)

Cogeneration and Waste Heat (Art. 14)

Metering and billing

Public procurement (Art. 6)

Energy savings obligation (Art. 7/7a/7b, Annex V Nr. 2)

District heating

(Art. 24 RED)

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

EED

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsi

Training and skilled workers (Art. 18)

1.3 % RES heating and cooling (Art. 23)

Renewable self-consumers/ communities (Art. 21, 22)

REDII

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$



Balance between Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (Status: June 2019)

• To be considered: Insulation restrictions, climate, potentials for renewables, ...

	Share of F	RES in total he	eating and	Savings in j	final energy	demand for			
	C	ooling demai	nd		building stoc	<i>k</i>	Base year	Scale	not yet decided
Country	2018	2030	2050	2018	2030	2050			
Austria]			1990	quantitative scale	
Belgium / Flanders							2007	qualtitative scale	yes
Bulgaria								qualtitative scale	yes
Croatia							2017	quantitative scale	
Cyprus							2010	quantitative scale	
Denmark							2005	quantitative scale	yes
Estonia								quantitative scale	yes
Finland							2012	quantitative scale	
France							2012	quantitative scale	
Italy							baseline	quantitative scale	
Luxemburg							1995	quantitative scale	
Slovakia							average of 2001-2005	quantitative scale	
Spain	10	40					2015	qualtitative scale	yes

Note: system boundaries, base years, and methodologies differ.

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



Balance between Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (Status: June 2019)

• To be considered: Insulation restrictions, climate, potentials for renewables, ...

	Н	ow do we achieve the right balance of efficiency and renewables wit	h	
		cooling demand building stock Base year Scale n	iot yet d	ecided
Country	pc	plitical instruments? 2018 2030 2050		
Austria				
Belgium / Flan				yes
Bulgaria	e.	g. in Germany, a "top-down" CO_2 price is combined with more detailed	ed .	yes
Croatia		2017 quantitative scale		
Cyprus	SU	Ib-policies, including		
Denmark		strict HT'/U value AND renewable heating requirements for new scale		yes
Estonia		quantitative scale		yes
Finland		buildings 2012 quantitative scale		
France	a contain repovotion requirements for existing buildings ²⁰¹² quantitative s			
Italy		certain renovation requirements for existing buildings in quantitative scale		
Luxemburg	•	a ban on new installation of heating oil boilers from 2026 quantitative scale		
Slovakia		average of 2001-2005 quantitative scale		
Spain		But solar energy supply of tenants or neighbours is complex.		yes

Note: system boundaries, base years, and methodologies differ.

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



CONCERTED Overview of EPBD Article 2a components ACTION BUILDINGS **Submission** March 2020 Roadmap **Public Consultation** Milestones & indicators 2030, 2040 & 2050 Highly energy efficient and decarbonised Best practices on smart financing building stock by 2050 Requirements for existing buildings **Financing and economic** Article 2a Cost-effective transformation Long-term renovation Energy savings and wider benefits strategies to support **Trigger points** Health, safety and air quality renovation into a highly energy efficient and decarbonised Overview of building stock Incentives building stock by 2050, facilitating the cost-effective transformation of existing Incentives for skills and educations Policies & actions for cost-effective buildings into nearly zerodeep renovation energy buildings. Staged vs. one-step Incentives for smart technologies **Building renovation passports** Policies & actions for worst performing Policies & actions for public buildings buildings **Policies**

Split-incentive & market failures

ifeu 2018

Policies & actions against energy poverty

Time	What	Who
9'00	Welcome and Introduction	Martin Pehnt
		ifeu – Institute for Energy and
		Environmental Research Heidelberg
Part I	Insights	
9'10	LTRS and decarbonization of buildings	Paolo Bertoldi
	Importance for the overall targets, new role of	DG JRC – Directorate Energy, Transport and
	LTRS within EPBD, insights from evaluation 2017	Climate
	LTRS, what to expect in future	
9'20	Insights from the 2020 LTRS process	
5 20		
	The Spanish LTRS 2020	Eduardo de Santiago
		<u>Ministerio</u> de <u>Fomento</u>
	Experience from three current LTRS	Ivan Jankovic
		BPIE
9'50	Towards integrated LTRS: Summary of part I and	Tadeusz Skoczkowski
	presentation of questions for Part II	Polish National Energy Conservation
		Agency and Warsaw University of
		Technology
Part II	Conclusions paper	
10'00	Discussion of prepared questions in small "cross-	
	directive" groups	
10'25	Collecting the conclusions in the plenary	Sophie Shnapp, Emilie Carmichael
		Energy Saving Trust
10'30	End of session	





Group work



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 820497.

Questions • 20 min group work. Aim: Produce 2-5 statements.

1. Using RED and EED for the LTRS: Are there significant measures in the transposition of the EED or RED which particularly help to achieve the objectives of the LTRS? Discuss good examples. E. g.

EED: public buildings, procurement, energy savings obligation (Art. 5, Art. 6, Art. 7/7a/7b EED)

RED: mainstreaming RES heating and cooling, training, self-consumption, (Art. 18, 21, 23 (...)?

2. Synergies and conflicts in the LTRS: Are there significant measures in the LTRS or in the current building instruments where efficiency cannibalizes renewables or vice versa

... e. g. regarding favorable policy, access to financing, rewards in the building code? Is a CO2 reduction approach e. g. with CO₂ taxes sufficient or do we need separate requirements/instruments for efficiency and renewables? Experience in each country.

3. Integration of Directives: Do you have any suggestions for a better integration of the three directives in terms of instruments or policy areas?

e.g. responsibilities, time frame and difficulty in co-coordination among the directives? How to Thidentify the synergies elements of the information contained Neither the EASME not the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



Thank you!

The sole responsibility for the content of this presentation lies with the authors. It does not necessarily reflect the opinion of the European Union. Neither the EASME nor the European Commission are responsible for any use that may be made of the information contained



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 820497.

